

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,814	JELINEK, RAZ	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH. (3) JON WEBER (SPE, 1657).
 (2) GORDON P. KLANCNIK (ATTORNEY). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2010

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *see attached copy of the faxed exam. amendment.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney of record, Mr. Gordon P. Klancnik (phone- 703-816-4411, direct) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and provided with (via a facsimile; see attached copy of Exam. Amend. faxed to the attorney on 8/10/2010) the proposed amendments to the pending claims (which were found to be allowable by in an in-house patentability conference with Examiner, Irene Marx, and SPE Jon Weber held on 8/10/2010) for applicant's considerations. Mr. Klancnik called the examiner and wanted to amend the language of process of making claims 7 and 24 to incorporate the amendment "...the step of preparing an aqueous solution comprising the perturbation-sensitive construct from construct precursors, and co-incubating...", which was agreed upon by the examiners (in view of applicant's examples in the instant disclosure on page 22-23, example 1, in particular). Examiner called Mr. Klancnik on 8/13/2010 for confirmation of such amendment and was informed that applicants have agreed to such an amendment as proposed and agreed by the examiners.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, AU 1657